

## Meeting evaluation questionnaire

From the meeting within the TEMPUS project ECBAC-517200 “*Establishing and Capacity Building of the Southern Serbian Academy and The National Conference for Vocational Higher Education*” held 3rd-4th October, 2013 in the premises of the School of Higher Technical Professional Education in Niš, Serbia.

### 1 What were your expectations related to this meeting and have they been fulfilled?

person	ANSWERS
1.	Yes, expectations have been fulfilled
2.	Yes, expectations have been fulfilled
3.	Yes, expectations have been completely fulfilled, I gained new knowledge
4.	Yes, expectations have been completely fulfilled
5.	
6.	
7.	Yes, expectations have been fulfilled
8.	I expected a lot of information and my expectations were fulfilled
9.	Done as it was planned-excellent!
10.	Yes, expectations have been fulfilled
11.	
12.	I am satisfied with the information that I received. I wanted to find out more about the development of the project and further activities of the participants
13.	ok
14.	Yes, expectations have been fulfilled
15.	Yes, expectations have been fulfilled
16.	I expected to see the reports on the ECBAC project implementation and activities. My expectations have been fulfilled
17.	I expected concrete actions and activities. My expectations have been fulfilled.
18.	Yes, expectations have been fulfilled
19.	The meeting has fulfilled my expectations
20.	ok
21.	I expected to hear some important things from the NTO.

### 2 Make comments on the following items of the agenda:

#### ◆ General project info

person	ANSWERS
1.	Very well presented
2.	Very well
3.	Complete
4.	ok
5.	
6.	
7.	ok
8.	I am satisfied
9.	Very important
10.	good
11.	good
12.	Very well presented and well gathered
13.	ok
14.	useful
15.	
16.	ok
17.	Concrete information presented in a good way
18.	ok
19.	ok
20.	ok
21.	Very important

♦ Evolution of the adapted model of the Academy

person	ANSWERS
1.	good
2.	good
3.	good
4.	ok
5.	
6.	
7.	ok
8.	positive
9.	Very important
10.	ok
11.	Partially good
12.	
13.	ok
14.	clear
15.	
16.	ok
17.	Logical step forward
18.	
19.	ok
20.	ok
21.	I do not see that it is evolving. More work needs to be done.

♦ Evaluation of the written articles on the project

person	ANSWERS
1.	I do not have any remarks, it is well done
2.	good
3.	good
4.	ok
5.	
6.	
7.	
8.	positive
9.	excellent
10.	ok
11.	good
12.	precise
13.	ok
14.	informative
15.	
16.	ok
17.	
18.	I am satisfied with the written documents
19.	ok
20.	ok
21.	good

♦ Quality framework of the Academy

person	ANSWERS
1.	It is very good
2.	Excellent presentation
3.	excellent
4.	ok
5.	
6.	
7.	
8.	satisfactory
9.	excellent
10.	ok

11.	good
12.	
13.	ok
14.	clear
15.	
16.	ok
17.	
18.	
19.	ok
20.	ok
21.	good

◆ Monitoring visit by the NTO

person	ANSWERS
1.	It was very good
2.	It was very good
3.	excellent
4.	ok
5.	
6.	
7.	
8.	positive
9.	5
10.	ok
11.	
12.	
13.	ok
14.	In depth
15.	
16.	constructive
17.	
18.	
19.	ok
20.	ok
21.	important

◆ Student services in Flanders

person	ANSWERS
1.	It was presented very well
2.	It was excellent
3.	excellent
4.	ok
5.	
6.	Interesting, comprehensive, the student is at the first place
7.	Comprehensive, synoptic
8.	Very interesting
9.	5
10.	ok
11.	excellent
12.	Fantastically organized service
13.	ok
14.	Complex but functional
15.	
16.	Stunning, we should aspire to such a student service
17.	
18.	The content of the presentation was very interesting
19.	ok
20.	ok
21.	It was interesting and well presented

◆ Accreditation of study programmes in Serbia

person	ANSWERS
1.	Very good presentation
2.	Good
3.	There are no standards for accreditation
4.	ok
5.	? ok?
6.	ok
7.	
8.	positive
9.	4
10.	ok
11.	Partially good
12.	It is necessary to accelerate the process of quality and competences control
13.	ok
14.	Short and clear
15.	
16.	ok
17.	Well presented
18.	ok
19.	ok
20.	ok
21.	good presentation

◆ Accreditation of study programmes in Flanders

person	ANSWERS
1.	It was good
2.	It was very good
3.	excellent
4.	ok
5.	ok
6.	ok
7.	ok
8.	interesting
9.	5
10.	ok
11.	Good-excellent
12.	
13.	ok
14.	good
15.	
16.	It is nice to hear how the partners function
17.	Well presented
18.	ok
19.	ok
20.	ok
21.	Very complex presentation

◆ Accreditation of study programmes in Portugal

person	ANSWERS
1.	It was good
2.	It was very good
3.	excellent
4.	ok
5.	ok
6.	ok
7.	Ok presentation
8.	interesting
9.	5
10.	ok
11.	excellent
12.	

13.	ok
14.	good
15.	
16.	ok
17.	Well presented
18.	ok
19.	ok
20.	ok
21.	interesting

◆ Accreditation of study programmes in Germany

person	ANSWERS
1.	It was very good
2.	It was very good
3.	excellent
4.	ok
5.	ok
6.	ok
7.	Ok presentation
8.	A bit unclear
9.	5
10.	ok
11.	excellent
12.	Additional controls are not a bad idea to be taken into consideration
13.	ok
14.	good
15.	
16.	ok
17.	Well presented
18.	ok
19.	ok
20.	ok
21.	clear

◆ Evaluation of the re-training programme in Gent

person	ANSWERS
1.	It was good
2.	It was good
3.	excellent
4.	Excellent presentation, excellent presenter, excellent impressions
5.	ok
6.	ok
7.	
8.	positive
9.	5
10.	
11.	excellent
12.	
13.	ok
14.	Excellent
15.	
16.	ok
17.	Well presented
18.	ok
19.	ok
20.	ok
21.	ok

◆ Project evaluation

person	ANSWERS
1.	It was good
2.	It was good

3.	excellent
4.	ok
5.	ok
6.	
7.	
8.	
9.	4
10.	ok
11.	
12.	
13.	ok
14.	Excellent
15.	
16.	ok
17.	
18.	ok
19.	ok
20.	ok
21.	ok

♦ Planning of further project activities

person	ANSWERS
1.	
2.	It was very good
3.	excellent
4.	
5.	ok
6.	
7.	
8.	
9.	5
10.	
11.	
12.	
13.	ok
14.	Excellent
15.	
16.	ok
17.	
18.	ok
19.	ok
20.	ok
21.	ok

3 What is your opinion on the meeting agenda in general?

person	ANSWERS
1.	
2.	good
3.	Very good
4.	I have no remarks
5.	ok
6.	It was ok
7.	ok
8.	satisfactory
9.	excellent
10.	ok
11.	excellent
12.	Very well organized and comprehensive
13.	ok
14.	It was excellent
15.	The agenda was excellent

16.	The agenda was all right and it has been fulfilled completely
17.	The meeting was very dynamic. Congratulations!
18.	I am satisfied with the selection of the materials to be presented and the agenda as well
19.	
20.	ok
21.	good

#### 4 What have you learned? Which part of the meeting did you or didn't you like?

person	ANSWERS
1.	
2.	I liked presentations on the accreditation of study programmes
3.	I liked everything
4.	accreditation
5.	
6.	We should get out of Serbia and see what the other people do
7.	
8.	The most interesting was the part on accreditation in the EU
9.	It is necessary to pay more attention to the purpose of establishing of the Academy
10.	
11.	
12.	I liked the way the work is done in Flanders, Germany and Portugal
13.	ok
14.	I liked everything
15.	Exchange of information was useful
16.	All the participants gave presentations nicely
17.	The entire meeting was informative. We got further directives.
18.	I learned how accreditation is done abroad
19.	
20.	Everything was ok
21.	Everything was useful and important to hear

#### 5 What are your expectations to be fulfilled before the next meeting or during the next meeting? What are you going to do next?

person	ANSWERS
1.	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	
6.	
7.	
8.	I expect to get new information and to gain new knowledge for better and more efficient work
9.	It is important to make pressure on the Conference
10.	It is important to redefine the work packages and activities in line with the roles of the new partners in the project
11.	
12.	We should discuss the equipment which is needed primarily for students
13.	
14.	
15.	It is needed to activate the Ministry as a partner in the project
16.	I hope I will attend the next meeting
17.	I expect concrete steps to be taken for the IT sector and the student service since these are our weakest points
18.	The meeting fulfilled my expectations
19.	
20.	
21.	We should know what are going to be the roles of the new partners and some old ones which were not that active

6 Other comments:

<b>person</b>	<b>ANSWERS</b>
1.	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	
6.	
7.	
8.	
9.	<b>It is important to make pressure on the Ministry</b>
10.	<b>It is important to translate the meeting reports and the materials related to the project dissemination into Serbian</b>
11.	
12.	
13.	
14.	
15.	
16.	<b>We should continue in the same way</b>
17.	
18.	
19.	<b>I would ask if it is possible to stop with bilingual presentations since they are tiring and they take up too much time and affect concentration</b>
20.	
21.	